

Al-Māmūn, the Inquisition, and the Quest for Caliphal Authority



By John Abdallah Nawas

AL-MA' MŪN, THE INQUISITION, AND
THE QUEST FOR CALIPHAL AUTHORITY

RESOURCES IN ARABIC
AND ISLAMIC STUDIES

series editors

Joseph E. Lowry
Devin J. Stewart
Shawkat M. Toorawa

Number 4
Al-Ma'mūn, the Inquisition, and the Quest for Caliphal Authority

AL-MAʾMŪN, THE INQUISITION, AND
THE QUEST FOR CALIPHAL AUTHORITY

John Abdallah Nawas

 LOCKWOOD PRESS

Atlanta, Georgia

2015

AL-MAʾMŪN, THE INQUISITION, AND THE QUEST FOR CALIPHAL AUTHORITY

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by means of any information storage or retrieval system, except as may be expressly permitted by the 1976 Copyright Act or in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission should be addressed in writing to Lockwood Press, P.O. Box 133289, Atlanta, GA 30333, USA.

© Lockwood Press

ISBN: 978-1-937040-55-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015954890

Cover image: “Caliph Maʾmun and His Soldiers Being Greeted by a Man with a Tray of Fruit.” Illustration from the *Khamisa* of Atai (d. 1044/1624). Walters MS 666 (1133/1721). Source: Wikimedia Commons/Walters Art Museum.

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper.

For Monique

CONTENTS

Series Editors' Preface	ix
Foreword	xi
Author's Preface	xiv
Acknowledgments	xv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Aim of This Study	1
1.2. Previous Explanations of al-Ma'mūn's Motives	2
1.3. The Approach of This Study	3
1.4. Sources	5
1.5. The Caliphates	5
1.6. The Sunnites and the Shi'ites	11
1.7. The Mu'tazilites	14
1.8. The Doctrine of the Createdness of the Qur'an	16
1.9. The <i>Miḥna</i>	16
CHAPTER 2: 'ABDALLĀH AL-MA'MŪN: HIS LIFE AND REIGN	21
2.1. Early Life	21
2.2. The Civil War	23
2.3. Al-Ma'mūn's Reign	24
CHAPTER 3: THE MU'TAZILISM, THE SHI'ISM, AND THE 'ALID HYPOTHESES	31
3.1. The Mu'tazilism Hypothesis	31
3.2. The Shi'ism Hypothesis	37
3.3. The 'Alid Hypothesis	41
CHAPTER 4: THE CALIPHAL AUTHORITY HYPOTHESIS	51
4.1. Modern Scholars' Formulations of This Hypothesis	51
4.2. The Argument of This Study	54

4.3. Al-Ma'mūn's Vision of the Caliphate	56
4.4. The <i>Miḥna</i> Letters	59
4.5. The Timing of the <i>Miḥna</i>	65
4.6. The Strategic Value of the Doctrine of the Createdness of the Qur'an	67
4.7. Interrogated Individuals	69
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION	77
Appendix 1: Chronological Information, by Genre, on the Compilers of the Sources Used	83
Appendix 2: Information on Those Interrogated	95
Appendix 3: Timetable of Key Events during al-Ma'mūn's Reign	107
Bibliography	109
Index	125
William Patton, <i>Aḥmed ibn Ḥanbal and the Miḥna</i> (1897)	[131]

SERIES EDITORS' PREFACE

The “inquisition” (Ar. *miḥna*) unleashed by the seventh Abbasid caliph, ‘Abdallāh al-Maʿmūn (r. 813–833), has long attracted the attention of modern scholars of the intellectual, political, and religious history of the early Abbasid era. Because this event—which began in 833 and stretched through the reigns of two of al-Maʿmūn’s successors—appears at a convergence of prominent currents in systematic theology, rationalist thought, theocratic politics, and nascent trends in Shiism and Sunnism, historians have seen it as the key to a wide array of puzzles and problems in early Islamic history. In this incisive study, Professor John Nawas of the University of Leuven (KU Leuven), Belgium, subjects the various proposed explanations of these events to a sober and searching analysis and, in the process, presents a new interpretation of al-Maʿmūn’s political and religious policies, contextualized against the background of early Abbasid intellectual and social history.

One of the very first analyses of the politico-religious policies of al-Maʿmūn was undertaken by W.M. Patton, in his 1897 study *Aḥmed ibn Ḥanbal and the Miḥna*, which focused especially on the hero of proto-Sunni resistance to al-Maʿmūn’s policies, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal (d. 855). Patton’s work still has much that is useful for modern scholarship, but it has one additional, enormous benefit for those who work on this material—it contains most of the relevant passages from the relevant Arabic primary sources in Arabic. Included here at the end of the volume, it is once again being made available to modern researchers as a companion to Professor Nawas’s study.

Although *Al-Maʿmūn, the Inquisition, and the Quest for Caliphal Authority* deals with subject matter that has traditionally been the preserve of specialists working with medieval Arabic sources, this volume aims, for the first time, to make the problematics of these events and materials available to a wider readership. We are thus very pleased indeed to be able to publish this volume as part of our series, Resources in Arabic and Islamic Studies. We are also extremely gratified that the eminent historian Michael Cook, Class of 1943 Professor of Near Eastern Studies at Princeton University, has provided a Foreword to this important work.

Joseph E. Lowry
Devin J. Stewart
Shawkat M. Toorawa

FOREWORD

Michael A. Cook

No caliph between the accession of Abū Bakr and the Mongol destruction of Baghdad did as many surprising things as al-Maʿmūn. They range from the unique to the downright odd. He was the only caliph ever to rule from Khurāsān and the only one to visit Egypt. No other ʿAbbāsīd (let alone Umayyad) caliph appointed an ʿAlīd as his heir—and stranger still, an ʿAlīd who must have been two decades his senior. No other caliph adopted the doctrine of the created Qurʾān, or any other theological doctrine, as a litmus test of orthodoxy to be imposed by institutionalized persecution; his immediate successors merely continued what he had begun. By any standards al-Maʿmūn was an idiosyncratic caliph, and sometimes a bizarre one.

This does not mean that the unusual things he did were a kind of behavioural gibberish. They were not the ravings of a lunatic who had slipped his cultural moorings. Attempting to rule the caliphate from a center as eccentric as Khurāsān may not have been a particularly good idea; but Khurāsān was undoubtedly a province that mattered, for reasons at once historical, economic, political, and military, and it was the region with which al-Maʿmūn was best connected. His visit to Egypt goes against the grain of Near Eastern geopolitics in the early centuries of Islam, in which the country was relegated to a somewhat marginal role; but Egypt mattered economically, it boasted interesting sites for a caliphal tourist, and it was in the throes of an obstinate rebellion. Appointing an ʿAlīd heir who was unlikely to outlive him looks like a very bad idea, and probably was—it could be relied on to alienate a lot of people who mattered without securing a viable alternative basis for the power of the caliphate. But it went well with al-Maʿmūn's consistent partiality for ʿAlī and his descendants, a partiality that has always been widely shared in Islam, and it may also have made some sense in terms of eschatological beliefs that were current at the time. Adopting as official dogma an unpopular elite doctrine may not have been politic, but the created Qurʾān was no brainchild of al-Maʿmūn's: it was one of the few issues on which the dialectical theologians of the day—the *ahl al-kalām*—were in agreement. Imposing this doctrine by heavy-handed state action, to the point that it was rumored that it would be taught to children in primary schools, made

little political sense: it meant picking a fight with a part of the population that was otherwise not particularly likely to rebel, and at a time when rebellions were epidemic in the caliphate. But the idea of “examining” people to verify that they were believers is grounded in the Qur’an: “O believers, when believing women come to you as emigrants, test them.... Then, if you know them to be believers, return them not to the unbelievers” (Q 60:10). The verb here translated as “test” (*imtaḥana*) was likewise used by al-Maʿmūn, and derives from the same root that gives us the standard term for his “inquisition” (*miḥna*).

However, showing that all of al-Maʿmūn’s unusual actions must have made some kind of sense to contemporaries, and indeed to posterity, does not add up to explaining why he chose to behave in those particular ways. The world of the possible contains innumerable things that humans could intelligibly do, many things that at some level they would like to do, and far fewer that they actually do. In the case of al-Maʿmūn it is hard not to come away with the sense that a particular personality was in play. Here was someone intelligent, educated, imaginative, and creative; someone who had a way of coming up with bright but bad ideas, getting hooked on them, and persisting with them till disaster or death forced a change of course. (Indeed al-Maʿmūn’s relatively early death looms large in all this: he never had the opportunity to grow old and wise.) And of course we know nothing about the intimate processes whereby he came up with his ideas and got hooked on them. The implication of this line of thought, were we to pursue it further, would be that while we can say some worthwhile things by way of setting out necessary conditions for al-Maʿmūn’s more unusual actions, identifying sufficient conditions is beyond our reach and likely to remain so. This, of course, would be a rather defeatist conclusion. The fact is that al-Maʿmūn is a puzzle, and confronted with an interesting and historically significant puzzle it seems a pity to give up on it.

At the time when John Nawas sent me a copy of his dissertation, Ibn Ḥanbal was an everpresent figure in my daily life. I was engaged in writing a study of “commanding right and forbidding wrong” in which he stood at the center of a key chapter. This meant that I was at least tangentially interested in the inquisitorial procedure initiated by al-Maʿmūn, since one of its most celebrated victims was to be Ibn Ḥanbal. It was in this context two things immediately caught my eye about the dissertation.

The first was that Nawas did not simply push a line of his own while ignoring or dismissing other views. Instead he set up a systematic taxonomy of the rather chaotic landscape of the secondary scholarship, identifying a limited number of hypotheses in terms of which the various trends in the field could be reduced to order. He then took the reader through these hypotheses one by one, even-handedly showing what evidence supported them and what evidence counted against them, and judging them accordingly on the basis of the full range of primary sources. It was hard not to agree with his rejection of the Muʿtazilite hypothesis, the idea that al-Maʿmūn’s links to the Muʿtazilites—and more broadly the *ahl al-kalām*—could explain his decision to impose the doctrine of the created Qur’an. These links were clearly a necessary condition for the decision, and

as such an indispensable part of any explanation, but they were far from being sufficient. The same was true of the related but distinct Shīʿite and ʿAlid hypotheses; they did not identify even a necessary condition, except to the extent that we may see al-Maʿmūn’s Shīʿite or ʿAlid sympathies as linked to his strong conception of caliphal authority—and as Nawas points out, his thinking on this issue does not seem to have been very different from that of his predecessors.

The second thing that caught my eye was that the view favored by Nawas—namely the caliphal authority hypothesis—was more comprehensive and held more water than its rivals. This view presents al-Maʿmūn’s inquisition as a quintessential example of authority dramatization. It is indeed eminently plausible that a ruler with his strong sense of occupying a God-given office would have felt intensely frustrated and grossly disrespected by the level of disarray that prevailed in his domains, and would accordingly feel a strong urge to dramatize his authority. Of course as Nawas points out, this hypothesis still leaves several questions unanswered, for example the timing of the inquisition—why did al-Maʿmūn put up with the cacophony of the traditionists and their associates for two decades, only to launch his campaign against them near the end of his reign? But if the puzzle can be solved at all, Nawas has made a major contribution to its solution.

Author's Preface

In 1993, during a typically august and traditional European ceremony, I publicly defended a dissertation entitled “Al-Ma’*mūn*: Miḥna and Caliphate,” which I had written at the Katholieke Universiteit Nijmegen (later renamed Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen) in the Netherlands. Perhaps the grandiosity of this very formal, old-fashioned and somewhat outmoded ceremony instilled in me the diffidence that dissuaded me from publishing the dissertation immediately, though it was always in the back of my mind. I did soon after notice that it was being regularly used and cited, but, convinced though I was that I had to find time to sit down and do the necessary work to get the manuscript into book form, the years rolled by. Two decades on, I was unexpectedly invited to have it included in the series Resources in Arabic and Islamic Studies (RAIS). I considered this honor an exceptional opportunity to finalize the manuscript in the manner I had always envisioned, then as now, namely to address it not only to Arabists and Islamicists but also to a broader readership. I have accordingly revised the text and changed the chapter structure. I have also updated the notes by including secondary literature that has appeared since 1993 in cases where the new material makes a direct contribution to the topic: these are indicated by an asterisk (*) in both the notes and the Bibliography. For a comprehensive annotated listing of the existing literature, see my historiographical essay on the *miḥna* published in Oxford Bibliographies Online (Nawas 2014), which is regularly updated.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to the editors of this series, Joseph E. Lowry, Devin J. Stewart, and Shawkat M. Toorawa, for inviting me to publish in *Resources in Arabic and Islamic Studies (RAIS)* and for their editorial guidance. I especially want to thank the gifted copyeditor they engaged. Michael Cook did me the honor of writing the foreword; it is not only his help to others but also his dedication to Islamic Studies that inspires us all.

I was fortunate to have had the benefit of receiving help when I was writing the dissertation. The people to whom I owe thanks are many. I would like explicitly to acknowledge my debt to several scholars on whose time and expertise I had no claim whatsoever but who, nonetheless, graciously responded to my queries in ways that no novice has a right to expect: Edmund Bosworth, Mayke de Jong, Carol Hillenbrand, Etan Kohlberg, Wilferd Madelung, Harald Motzki, Jan Peters, Peter Rietbergen, Dominique Sourdel, Arjo Vanderjagt, Geert-Jan van Gelder and W.M. Watt. I am also most grateful to Gual Juynboll, whose extended discussions with me taught me much and which I still cherish deeply. His passing is a loss I feel every day. Kees Versteegh helped me wade through a number of thorny Arabic grammatical constructions, which he thought funny as they were the very examples “his” grammarians would use to explain the Arabic language. Needless to say, any shortcomings are mine alone.

A special word of thanks goes to Jerry Atlas and Debby Tanzer for a friendship that has spanned decades: *al-ṣadiq ‘ind al-dīq* (a friend in need is a friend indeed), as the Arabic proverb goes; and, as the Yiddish proverb goes, what soap is to the body, laughter is to the soul. I thank both your souls for giving Monique and me so much moral support and for making us laugh so much together.

I am blessed again to be able to thank my parents, who are approaching 90 but whose persevering and tenacious spirits are stronger than ever. My mother-in-law Diny Bernards-Hendriks leads us in longevity and wisdom, acquired as she raised and fed five children almost on her own; her oldest, my much lamented brother-in-law, Frans Bernards, passed away in 2009 and is missed for his unconventional intellectual curiosity, which only made us more curious than we already were. My sister Carmen and my brother Mike, together with their spouses, Paulus and Anne (and my nieces and nephews, Sander, Lisa, Tom, Max, and Lydia) deserve separate mention here for their support.

I am happy to be able to thank my own PhD students for the intellectual journeys that they shared and continue to share with me: Stijn Aerts, Ahmed Azzouz, Thijs Delva, Talat Shinnawe, and Jessika Soors. I also thank them for our research group “History of the Fundamentals of Islam” at the KU Leuven and the Institute for Advanced Arabic and Islamic Studies, Antwerp, Belgium, which provides an extremely stimulating intellectual climate.

Finally, I thank Cambridge University Press for permission to use material drawn from my “A Reexamination of Three Current Explanations for al Ma’mun’s Introduction of the Mihna,” *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 26 (1994): 615–29.

INDEX

- al-ʿAbbās ibn al-Maʿmūn, 19 n46, 22, 30, 45, 48, 80
 al-ʿAbbās ibn Mūsā al-Kāzim (brother of ʿAlī al-Riḍā), 27
 ʿAbbāsīd Caliphate/Empire, 4, 6 n7–8, 9–11
 decline of, 10–11, 51, 80 n3
 ʿAbbāsīd *daʿwa*, first, 9, 25, 55 n6
 ʿAbbāsīd *daʿwa*, second, 24
 ʿAbbāsīd family, 19 n46, 26–28, 43, 45, 80
 and ʿAlids, 8, 9, 42–43, 46–48
 and Shiʿites, 3, 24–25
 ʿAbbāsīd predecessors, xiii, 3, 34, 40, 47, 55
 ʿAbbāsīd Revolution, 9–10, 25 n24, 44 n78
 ʿAbbāsīds
 color black, 28, 44–45
 color green, 44
 waṣīyya doctrine, 48
 ʿAbd al-Malik ibn Marwān, caliph, 8
 ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Ishāq, 69, 96
 ʿAbd al-Raḥmān I, Umayyad emir, 10 n21
 ʿAbdallāh ibn Ṭāhir ibn al-Ḥusayn, 28–29
Abnāʾ, 24–28, 76
 Abū al-Sarāyā, 24–25, 46
 Abū Bakr, caliph, xi, 6–7, 42 n64, 43 n73, 44 n82
 Abū Ḥanīfa, 21 n8, 37, 68 n85
 Abū al-Hudhayl al-ʿAllāf, 31–32
 Abū Muslim, 9–10
 Abū Ṭālib, 12, 87
adab works,
al-ʿAdl, 15–16
 Aghlabids, 51, 54
Ahl al-ḥadīth. See Traditionists
Ahl al-raʾy, 71
Ahl al-sunna, 11, 71, 101, 103
 Aḥmad ibn Abī Duʿād, 19, 31, 33, 37 n39, 80
 Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, xii, 4, 17, 40, 52, 60, 67, 74, 80,
 86, 90, 95, 97–99, 101, 103
 Aḥmad ibn Yūsuf, 55 n6
 ʿĀʾisha bint Abī Bakr, 6, 7, 24 n18
 ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib, 6–7, 8, 11–13, 24 n18, 26, 38,
 41–42, 43 n69, 46–48, 79
 preeminence of (*tafḍīl ʿAlī*), 30, 42, 107
 ʿAlī al-Riḍā (ʿAlī ibn Mūsā al-Kāzim), 27, 44–45, 47,
 66 n80, 107
 death of, 28, 45–46, 107
 designation as heir, 27, 32, 40, 43–48, 54–57, 80
 ʿAlī ibn ʿĪsā ibn Māhān, 24–25
 ʿAlids, xi, xiii, 3, 8–10, 12, 13, 17 n41, 26, 38 n40,
 41–50, 54, 77–80
 al-Amīn, caliph, 21–24, 26, 28 n35, 40, 49 n104, 51,
 54, 55 n6, 57, 62 n60, 80 n3
al-Amr bi-l-maʿrūf wa-l-nahy ʿan al-munkar, xii, 15
al-Andalus (Spain), 10 n21, 51
 Animals, tolerance for alcohol, 32 n4
 Anthropomorphism/*tashbīh*, 15, 34 n20, 63, 68 n84
 Anti-Caliphate, of Ibrāhīm ibn al-Mahdī (al-
 Mubārak), 27–28, 54
 Apocalypse, 47
 ʿAqīl ibn Abī Ṭālib, 26
 Arabic language, 8, 21
 Arazi, Albert and Amikam Elad, 40, 55 n6
 Army, reorganization of, 24 n21, 30
 Astrology, 31–32, 34 n18, 85
 ʿAyyār, pl. ʿayyārūn, 27 n29
 al-Azdī, 40, 86

 Bābak, 29, 51
 Bādhghīs, 21
 Baghdad, x, 10, 12, 17–19, 22–29, 30, 33 n13, 34, 38,
 40 n55, 42, 45, 59, 66 n80, 69–72, 74, 76 n110, 107
 al-Baghdādī, 34, 88

- Banū Hāshim, 8–9, 42, 44, 48, 54, 79
 Banū Kalb, 8
 al-Bāqillānī, 19 n51
 Barmakids, 10, 22
 al-Bašra, 7, 25, 33 n13, 72 n100
 biographical dictionaries, 5, 32, 70, 73, 81
 Bishr al-Marīsī, 33, 37 n39, 66 n80
 Bishr ibn al-Mu‘tamir, 32 n8
 Bishr ibn al-Walīd al-Kindī, 19, 60, 96–97
Bundār (Persian), 62 n61
 Būrān (daughter of al-Ḥasan ibn Sahl), 28 n34
 Byzantine Empire, 4, 10, 18, 22, 30, 39 n48, 54, 59, 66–67
- Caliph/*khalīfa*, as title, 5–7, 41, 56–57
 Christians, 19, 63, 80
 Christian Trinity, 16, 63
 Chronicles (Universal and local histories), 5, 17, 34, 36, 38, 41 n62, 42, 44, 45–46, 69, 81, 83–94
 Civil war (between al-Amīn and al-Ma‘mūn), 23–24, 25, 29, 51, 54, 67, 80 n3, 107
 Coins, 8, 23, 40–41, 44
 Commander of the Faithful/*amīr al-mu‘minīn*, 7, 60–62, 64, 68–69
 Companions of the Prophet, 30, 32 n4, 72–73
 Copts, 30
 Cordoba, 10 n21, 12
 Createdness of the Qur‘an, doctrine, 1–5, 15–19, 30–31, 32 n5, 33 n13, 34, 36–37, 39–41, 43 n75, 51, 53–54, 56, 59, 61, 63, 65–69, 76 n110, 77–79, 107
 Crone, Patricia, 1 n2, 67 n83
 Crone, Patricia and Martin Hinds, 2–3, 6 n7, 41 n60, 51, 53, 55, 65 n78
- Damascus, 8, 12, 34
 Ḍirār ibn ‘Amr, 33, 35 n27, 37 n39
- Egypt, xi, 4, 7, 29–30, 76 n110, 107
 Eschatology, 47 n100
 Ess, Josef van, 33, 35 n25, 35 n27, 37 n39, 39 n47, 56 n11, 66 n80, 76 n110
- Fadak, 43
 al-Faḍl ibn al-Rabī‘, 23, 26
 al-Faḍl ibn Sahl, 22–23, 25 n23, 26–28, 34 n18, 55 n6, 58, 107
 al-Faḍl ibn Yaḥyā al-Barmakī, 22 n13
Faqīh, pl. *fuqahā’*, 56, 66–67, 71–72, 74–75, 79
- Fārs, 30
 Fāṭima bint Muḥammad, 7, 14, 43 n73
 Fāṭimid, 38
Fiqh/Jurisprudence, 3, 12, 21, 37 n26, 73
Fitna, pl. *fitan*, 23 n18, 67
 Funeral rituals, 39
 al-Fuwaṭī, 33 n11
- Genealogical works, 5
 Ghaylān al-Dimashqī, 1 n1
 Gibb, Hamilton, 47
 Great Mosque of Baghdad, 27 n32, 66 n80
- al-Hādī, caliph, 1 n1, 10, 22, 24, 26, 48 n104
 Hadith, 11–12, 16, 21, 39 n51, 44 n82, 58, 60, 71, 73–75
 Ḥamdawayh ibn ‘Alī ibn ‘Īsā ibn Māhān, 25–26
 Ḥanafites, 12, 21, 36–37, 71
 Ḥanbalites, 4, 12, 18 n42, 34, 43 n75, 45
 Ḥarbiyya district of Baghdad, 26–27
 al-Ḥārith ibn al-Miskīn, 70, 100
 Harthama ibn A‘yan, 24–26
 Hārūn al-Rashīd, caliph, 1, 10, 16, 28 n35, 45, 66 n80
 al-Ḥasan al-Bašrī, 14
 al-Ḥasan ibn Sahl, 25–28, 29 n40, 56–57
 al-Ḥasan ibn Ziyād al-Lu‘lu‘ī, 21
 al-Ḥasan ibn ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, 7, 12–14, 25
 Ḥasanids, 12
Ḥashwiyya, 63 n62
 Heresy/*ilhād*, 1, 63
 Ḥijāz, 26, 43
 Hinds, Martin, 2, 17 n41, 39–40, 51, 53, 76 n110
 Hishām ibn ‘Abd al-Malik, caliph, 1 n1, 16
Hudā, 52
 Ḥumayd ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥamid, 29 n40
 al-Ḥusayn ibn ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, 12–14, 19, 25
 Ḥusaynids, 12
- Iblīs/the Devil, 63
 Ibn Abī Ṭāhir Ṭayfūr, 35, 42, 85
 Ibn Bābawayh, 42, 43 n69, 44, 87
 Ibn al-‘Imād, 5, 33 n10, 34, 43 n75, 94
 Ibn al-Jawzī, 36, 91
 Ibn al-Muqaffa‘, 48, 67 n82
 Ibn al-Murtaḍā, 32, 34, 93
 Ibn Kathīr, 44 n82, 45, 93
 Ibn Sa‘d, 5, 73, 83, 100
 Ibn Ṭabāṭabā (Ḥasanid), 25

- Ibn Taghrībirdī, 36, 37 n36, 44 n82, 94
 Ibn al-Zubayr, 24 n18
 Ibrāhīm ibn al-Mahdī (al-Mubārak), 27–28, 42 n67,
 54, 66 n80, 80 n3, 100, 107
 Ibrāhīm ibn Mūsā, brother of ‘Alī al-Riḍā, 43 n70
 Ibrāhīm ibn Rashīd, 35 n25
 Idrīsids, 54
Ijmā‘, 12
Imām, 13–14, 25, 27, 35 n25, 38–42, 44, 51–52, 57
 n17, 64, 78
Imām al-hudā, 52
 Imāmate, 6 n7, 13–14, 25, 38–39, 48, 52
 Imāmites. *See* Twelver Shi‘ites
 Inquisition, ix, xii–xiii, 1, 17–18, 37 n39, 77
 Iraq, 13, 24–27, 29–30, 37
 Ishāq ibn Ibrāhīm, 17–18, 28, 29 n37, 30, 59–60, 66
 n80, 69–71, 73–75, 95
 Ishāq ibn Mūsā al-Hādī, 26–27
 Ismā‘īl ibn Dāwūd, 73

 Jabriyya (“determinism”), 34 n17, 35 n26, 68 n83
 al-Ja‘d ibn Dirham, 36
 Ja‘far al-Ṣādiq, 25, 38, 40
 Ja‘far ibn ‘Īsā, 69, 98
 Ja‘far ibn Yaḥyā al-Barmakī, 22
 al-Jāḥiẓ, 32, 84
 al-Jahshiyārī, 40, 46, 58, 86
 al-Jazīra, 30
 Jerusalem, 8
 Jesus, son of Mary, 16, 63
 Jews, 19, 80
 Jibāl, 24, 30
Jinn, 32 n4
 Jurjān, 25
 Juynboll, Gautier, 39 n48, 72

 Ka‘aba Accord. *See* Meccan Accord
 Kairouan, 12
 Karbalā’, 13, 19
Khalīfat Allāh, 41, 56
Khalq al-Qur’ān. *See* Createdness of the Qur’an,
 doctrine
 al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, 38, 90
 Khurasan, Khurasanians, xi, 9–10, 21–24, 27 n30,
 28, 33 n13, 34, 42, 45–47, 53, 54 n6, 71, 76, 107
 Khurramiyya, 29 n38
 al-Kisā’ī, 21
 al-Kūfa, 7, 9–10, 13, 21 n8, 25, 27

 Madelung, Wilferd, 21 n2, 40, 47
al-Mahdī (Savior), 9, 13–14, 47
 al-Mahdī, caliph, 1 n1, 10, 21 n4, 24, 26, 48
 Mālikites, 12
 al-Ma’mūn
 and ‘Abbāsids, 19 n46, 26–27, 43–45, 47–48, 67,
 80
 and ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, 41–42, 48, 79–80
 and ‘Alids, 27, 41–49, 54, 78–80
 and astrology, 34 n18
 and Byzantine Empire, 4, 18, 30, 39 n48, 59, 66
 and death of ‘Alī al-Riḍā, 45
 and al-Faḍl ibn Sahl’s murder, 28
 and Murji‘ism, 35–36
 and Mu‘tazilism, 31–37
 and Shi‘ism, 37–41
 as homo politicus, 3
 as military leader, 24 n21, 30
 death of, 30
 education of, 21–22
 fragmentary subjective labels in secondary
 literature, 2–3, 5
 kunya of, 21 n1
 last will and testament, 18–19, 30, 33–34, 43,
 54–55, 80
 marriage to Būrān, 28 n34
 personality, 21–22
 reign of, 24–30
 religio-political issues, 29–30
 al-Manṣūr, caliph, 3, 9, 10, 21, 24, 44 n80, 48, 55 n6
 al-Manṣūr ibn al-Mahdī, 26–27
al-Manzila bayn al-manzilatayn, 15
 Marājil, mother of al-Ma’mūn, 21
 Marw, 23–27, 71
 Marwān II, caliph, 6, 10
 al-Mas‘ūdī, 34, 42, 43 n69, 45 n87, 87
 Mecca, 22, 25, 32 n4
 Meccan Accord, 22–23
 Medina, 7, 13, 32 n4, 47
Mihna
 and “Khurasanian connection,” 53, 71 n98,
 75–76
 duration of, 18
 meaning and connotations of, xii, 17 n41
 Mu‘āwiya, caliph, 6–8, 13, 42
 cursing of, 29–30, 33 n14, 41–42, 46, 107
 personality, 8
Muḥaddithūn. *See* Traditionists
 Muḥammad. *See* Prophet Muḥammad

- Muḥammad al-Dībāja, 25
 Muḥammad ibn Abī Khālid, 26
 Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī al-Riḏā, 45
 Muḥammad ibn Ḥumayd ibn al-Ṭūsī, 29
 Muḥammad ibn Ja‘far, 43
 Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Zayd (al-Nār, Ḥusaynid), 25, 46
 Muḥammad ibn Nūḥ, 60, 95, 100
Murjīʿa /Murjiʿites, 15, 35
 Mūsā al-Kāzim, 25
 Mūsā ibn al-Amin, 23
Muṭʿa marriage, 33 n14, 38, 42 n67
Mutakallimūn, 34 n18, 36 n32
 al-Muʿtaman. *See* al-Qāsim ibn Hārūn al-Rashīd
 al-Muʿtaṣim (Abū Ishāq), caliph, 18, 26, 29–30, 33, 37 n39, 43, 48, 54, 80–81
 personality, 18–19
 al-Mutawakkil, caliph, 6, 18–19, 33 n10, 33 n13, 36, 43 n73, 51, 80
 Muʿtazilism /Muʿtazilites, xii, 3, 16, 19, 31–37, 56 n11, 77–78, 80–81
 and al-Jāḥiẓ, 32 n5, 84
 Baghdadi school of, 32 n8
 Baṣra school of, 31–32
 doctrine, 14–16, 34–37
- Nagel, Tilman, 2–3, 6 n7, 39, 40, 42, 47–48, 51–53
 al-Nahrawān, 45
 Najaf, 13
 Naṣr ibn Shabath, 29
 Naysābūr, 23 n14
 al-Nazzām, 32
- Patton, Walter, ix, 2, 4–5, 17 n41, 18 n42, 33, 37 n39
 Philosophy, Greek, 31–32, 36
 Pilgrimage, 19, 22, 26, 43
 Polytheism, 16, 63, 68 n84
 Prayer, *tabkīr* in, 38–39, 52–53
 Prophet Muḥammad, 5–7, 9, 11–12, 14, 17 n41, 25 n24, 27, 32 n4, 44, 48, 54 n6, 57, 65, 78, 80
 Prophethood/*nubuwwa*, 57, 64, 73
- Qadariyya* (“indeterminism”), 1 n1, 34–35
Qāḏī, 70–72
Qāḏī al-quḏāt, 19, 33 n13
 al-Qāḏī al-Nuʿmān, 38–39
 al-Qādir, caliph, 18 n42
 al-Qāhir, caliph, 18 n42
 al-Qāsim ibn Hārūn al-Rashīd (al-Muʿtaman), 22
 al-Qawāriri, 74, 102
 Qiyās, 12
 Qurʿan, 6 n7, 11–12, 15–16, 19, 21 n5, 27, 32 n4, 37, 54, 60–61, 68 n83. *See also* Createdness of the Qurʿan
 2:256, 1 n2
 18:31, 44 n81
 21:73, 52 n2
 43:2, 68 n86
 43:3, 37
 76:21, 44, n81
 as object, 16, 37, 68
 as word of God, 16
 Quraysh, 6–9
- al-Rāḏī, caliph, 18 n42
 al-Raqqa, 18, 29
 Rayy, 24
al-Riḏā min āl Muḥammad, 9, 25, 27, 44
Ridda, 6
 Rightly-Guided Caliphs, 6
Risālat al-Khamīs, 40, 52, 54, 59, 64
 Round City (City of al-Manṣūr), 26 n27
 Rulership, theocratic, 52
- al-Ṣafadī, 36, 40 n55, 42 n67, 46, 93
Siflat al-ʿamma, 62–63
 al-Saffāḥ, caliph, 3, 6, 9–10
 Sahl ibn Salāma al-Anṣārī, 27
 Sahlids, 26–28
al-Salaf, 32 n5, 41
 Sarakhs, 28
 al-Shāfiʿī/Shāfiʿites, 12, 73 n103
Shāhid, *shuhūd*, 69–72, 76 n110, 79
Shīʿat ʿAlī/Shiʿa/Shiʿites, 3, 6–7, 9–13, 19, 24–26, 38–42, 44, 45 n87, 47, 54, 57 n17, 77–80
 extreme (*ghulāt*), 13 n33
 Sevensers, 13 n33
 Twelvers, 13–14, 25, 27, 68 n87
 Zaydites, 13 n33, 14, 40–42
Shurṭa (security forces, police), 28–29
 Sourdell, Dominique, 2–3, 16, 38–40, 42–43, 52 n3, 53, 57 n17, 65 n78
 al-Subkī, 36, 37 n39, 93
 Succession to Caliphate, 8 n16, 12, 22, 80
Sunna, 6 n7, 11–12, 73 n103
 Sunnites, 11, 71
 Syria, 7, 10, 18, 26, 29–30

- al-Ṭabarī, 17, 43 n70, 66, 70, 86, 95
Tafḍīl ‘Alī. *See* ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, preeminence of
 Ṭāhir ibn al-Ḥusayn, 24, 28–29
 Ṭāhirids, 28–29, 51, 54
Takbīr. *See* Prayer, *takbīr* in
 Ṭalḥa ibn Ṭāhir ibn al-Ḥusayn, 28
 Ṭalḥa ibn ‘Ubaydallāh, 24 n18
 Ṭālibids, 12, 26, 43 n70
Taqiyya, 68–69, 81
 Tarsus, 4, 18, 30, 59–60, 70–71, 95
Tashayyu‘, 12 n29
Taslim, 38–39
Tawḥīd, 15–16, 34
 Theophilus (Byzantine emperor), 66 n79
 Thumāma ibn Ashras, 31–32, 34–35
 Ṭirāz, 23
 Traditionists/*muḥaddithūn*, xiii, 11, 16, 18 n42, 21,
 32 n4, 32 n5, 33 n13, 36, 52, 56, 71, 72–75, 79
 Ṭūs, 23, 45
- ‘Ubaydallāh ibn al-Ḥasan ibn ‘Ubaydallāh ibn
 ‘Abbās ibn ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, 43 n70
 ‘Ubaydallāh ibn al-Sarī ibn al-Ḥakam, 29
 ‘*Ulamā’*, 3, 12, 52–53, 56, 65–66, 67 n81, 75–76,
 78–79
 ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, caliph, 6–7, 8, 41 n62
 ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz, caliph, 8
 Umayyads, xi, 1 n1, 3, 6–10, 13, 16, 23–25, 29, 35
 n25, 42 n65, 44 n78, 48, 51, 53, 57 n17, 65 n78
 Umm al-Faḍl, daughter of al-Ma’mūn, 45
 Umm ‘Īsā, wife of al-Ma’mūn, 22
 ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān, caliph, 6–7, 12
- Van Gelder, Geert Jan, 35 n25
- al-Wa‘d wa-l-wa‘īd*, 15, 34
 Wāṣil ibn ‘Aṭā’, 14
 al-Wāsiṭī, 60
 al-Wāthiq, caliph, 18–19, 33, 80–81
 Watt, Montgomery, 31–33, 36 n28, 39–40, 51, 53
- Yaḥyā ibn Aktham, 33, 38
 Yaḥyā ibn Khālīd al-Barmakī, 22 n13
 Yaḥyā ibn Ma‘īn, 74, 104
 Yaḥyā ibn Mu‘ādh, 29
 al-Ya‘qūbī, 34, 42 n67, 43 n73, 59 n45, 85
 Yazīd I, caliph, 8, 13, 24 n18
 al-Yazīdī, 21
 Yemen, 25–26, 46 n93
- Zaydites. *See* *Shī‘at* ‘Alī/Shi‘a/Shi‘ites
 Zubayda, wife of Hārūn al-Rashīd, 21, 40 n55, 62
 n60